1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	SCOTT ALLEN MCCURRY,	Case No.: 1:22-cv-01398-SKO (HC)
11	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
12	v.	
13	KATHLEEN ALLISON, Secretary,	(Document #2)
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no	
17	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v.	
18	Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir.	
19	1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel	
20	at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules	
21	Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the	
22	interests of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT	
23	IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is DENIED.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	II IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: November 2, 2022	<u>Is/ Sheila K. Oberto</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		CIVILD STATES MASISTRATE JUDGE
28		